



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,450	02/23/2002	Jian Zhu	054788/347548	8324
826	7590	08/18/2009	EXAMINER	
ALSTON & BIRD LLP			MERED, HABTE	
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000				2416
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/082,450	ZHU ET AL.	
	Examiner HABTE MERED	Art Unit 2416	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Allowed(1) HABTE MERED.

(3) _____.

(2) Cory Davis(59,932).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 August 2009**Time:** 2:00 PM**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Aung S. Moe/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that even though the claims are allowed the patent cannot be published because the specification is defective due to numerous figures lacking description. Applicant indicated that the notice of allowance has been received and issue fee is paid and was not sure how to proceed given that 37 CFR 1.312 amendment cannot be filed after payment of issue fee is made. Examiner indicated per MPEP 714.16 d section III if the Office requires the correction then 37 CFR 1.312 amendment can be filed. Applicant agreed to file the 37 CFR 1.312 amendment with the requested changes to fix the defects in the specification.